Farrah Cato: LIT 2110 grading rubric for discussion posts (100+ sized-class)

	Outstanding 100 points	Good 85 points	Average 75 points	Limited 65 points	Flawed 55 points
Demonstrates Careful Reading & Inquiry into subject	Discussion post: • Shows serious contemplation of readings • Shows original thought that goes far beyond the obvious	Discussion post: • Indicates reading was completed • Addresses some of the prompt's implications	Discussion post: • Relies primarily on plot summary	Discussion post: • Suggests reading assignment scanned but not read carefully • Rehashes ideas from other posts	Discussion post: • Gives little indication that the reading assignment was completed • Post is not relevant to the module questions or current discussion
Responsibly cited; offers examples	Quotes used: • support writer's point ("proves" it) • are original (unexpected quote choices and/or uses quotes from multiple places of the text) • properly integrated (not just dropped in)	Quotes used: • support writer's point ("proves" it) • are somewhat predictable • are not well integrated	Some quotes are used, but: • There are too few examples; relies mostly on generalizations • Some quotes do not effectively support writer's point • quotes are poorly integrated	Some quotes are used, but: • Paraphrase dominates • quotes used are not integrated • Quotes do not make sense as support • Quoted material is out of context	No quotes are used; textual evidence (even paraphrased evidence) is flimsy
Quality of Prose	Post is: • Clear & articulate • Has correct grammar &	• Contains minor errors that don't cloud meaning • contains	• Contains several proofing errors • Contains several documentation	• Post is sloppy, uses text-messaging shortcuts; hard for readers to	• Contains multiple mechanical errors • Diction is informal

	• punctuation • Quotes are properly cited	• some mechanical /documentation errors	• citation errors • Diction is ordinary	• follow • Fails to use capital letters or punctuation • Contains multiple documentation errors	and/or inappropriate • Citations are missing
Engagement with others	• Shows concerted and honest effort to engage with others • Responds to ideas in a way that advances discussion beyond the obvious • Interacts easily & accurately with other posts in the thread	• Shows attention to other posts in the thread • Incorporates and acknowledges ideas of others in attempt to advance the discussion (perhaps in predictable ways)	• Offers little interaction with other posts in the thread • Mostly summarizes what others have said without adding to discussion	• Does not acknowledge other posts • Misrepresents content of other posts	• Ignores other posts in thread • Does not engage with others